ZooKeys 1033: 203–205 (2021)
doi: 10.3897/zookeys.1033.65850
https://zookeys.pensoft.net

CORRIGENDA

A peer-reviewed open-access journal
ZooKeys
Launched to accelerate biodiversity research

Corrigenda: Morphological and molecular evidence support the taxonomic separation of the medically important Neotropical spiders *Phoneutria depilata* (Strand, 1909) and *P. boliviensis* (F.O. Pickard-Cambridge, 1897) (Araneae, Ctenidae). ZooKeys 1022: 13–50. https://doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.1022.60571

Nicolas A. Hazzi[1,2], Gustavo Hormiga[1]

1 *The George Washington University, Department of Biological Sciences, Washington, D.C. 20052, USA*
2 *Fundación Ecotonos, Cra 72 No. 13ª-56, Cali, Colombia*

Corresponding author: Nicolas A. Hazzi (nicolashazzi@gwu.edu)

Academic editor: M. Arnedo | Received 15 March 2021 | Accepted 28 March 2021 | Published 22 April 2021

http://zoobank.org/D64401FF-0D4D-4F2D-A3DD-B3C4D06867BB

Citation: Hazzi NA, Hormiga G (2021) Corrigenda: Morphological and molecular evidence support the taxonomic separation of the medically important Neotropical spiders Phoneutria depilata (Strand, 1909) and P. boliviensis (F.O. Pickard-Cambridge, 1897) (Araneae, Ctenidae). ZooKeys 1022: 13–50. https://doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.1022.60571. ZooKeys 1033: 203–205. https://doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.1033.65850

In a recent publication (Hazzi and Hormiga 2021) we demonstrated that there are two species under the name of the ctenid spider *Phoneutria boliviensis* (F.O. Pickard-Cambridge, 1897) and used the name *Phoneutria depilata* (Strand, 1909) for this second species, which in the past had been treated as a junior synonym of *boliviensis*. We proposed that "*Ctenus peregrinoides* Strand, 1910" was a new junior synonym of *Phoneutria depilata*. We note here that such synonymy is an error. The problem resides in the fact that the name "*Ctenus peregrinoides*" is not available based on the Criteria of Availability of the ICZN (1999), of which Articles 10 and 11–20 must be satisfied. Article 11.5 states that: "Names to be used as valid when proposed. To be available, a name must be used as valid for a taxon when proposed, unless it was first published as a junior synonym and subsequently made available under the provisions of Article 11.6.1" (ICZN 1999).

Copyright *Nicolas A. Hazzi, Gustavo Hormiga.* This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

This requirement is not satisfied by Strand's provisional name "*C. peregrinoides*." Strand (1909a: 318) described two females from Guatemala under the name *Ctenus peregrinus* F.O. Pickard-Cambridge, 1900, and noted some differences with Pickard-Cambridge's illustration of *peregrinus* in 'Biologia Centrali Americana', stating that "Sollte die Art neu sein, möge sie *peregrinoides* m. genannt warden" ("If the species is new, it may be called *peregrinoides*"). Thus, Strand did not use the name "*Ctenus peregrinoides*" as valid when he proposed it (valid as opposed to, for example, "if this were a new species, here is a name"), which means that his provisional name is not available for nomenclatural purposes. Formally treating "*peregrinoides*" as a junior synonym is inconsequential because Strand's name is not available in a nomenclatural sense.

Furthermore, we need to correct the exact publication dates of the two relevant works of Strand (1909a, b). The unavailable name "*peregrinoides*" was published on 21 October,1909. A couple of months later (21 December 1909) Strand described *Ctenus depilatus*. The fact that these two publications appeared both in the 28th issue of Zoologische Jahrbücher, Abteilung für Systematik, Geographie und Biologie der Tiere, which corresponded to 1910 (as printed in the frontispiece of the journal), has been a source of confusion regarding the publication dates. While Roewer (1955: 649 for *C. depilatus*; 654 for *C. peregrinus*) correctly lists both of them as published in 1909, the catalogs of Petrunkevitch (1911: 413, 476) and Bonnet (1956: 1279, 1287) list them as published in 1910.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Theo Blick for pointing out the exact dates of Embrik Strand's publications. N. Hazzi was supported by a Fulbright-Colciencias scholarship and the Office of Graduate Student Assistantships and Fellowships of The George Washington University. Additional support was provided by a US National Science Foundation grant (DEB 1754289) to GH.

References

Bonnet P (1956) Bibliographia araneorum. Analyse méthodique de toute la littérature aranéologique jusqu'en 1939. Tome II. Systématique des araignées (Étude par ordre alphabétique) (2eme partie: C-F). Douladoure, Toulouse, 919–1926.

Hazzi NA, Hormiga G (2021) Morphological and molecular evidence support the taxonomic separation of the medically important Neotropical spiders *Phoneutria depilata* (Strand, 1909) and *P. boliviensis* (F.O. Pickard-Cambridge, 1897) (Araneae, Ctenidae). ZooKeys 1022: 13–50. https://doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.1022.60571

International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature (1999) International Code of Zoological Nomenclature (4th ed.). The International Trust for Zoological Nomenclature, Lon-

don, 306 pp. https://www.iczn.org/the-code/the-international-code-of-zoological-nomenclature/the-code-online/

Petrunkevitch A (1911) A synonymic index-catalogue of spiders of North, Central and South America with all adjacent islands, Greenland, Bermuda, West Indies, Terra del Fuego, Galapagos, etc. Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History 29: 1–791. https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.title.23819

Roewer CF (1955c) Katalog der Araneae von 1758 bis 1940, bzw. 1954. 2. Band, Abt. a (Lycosaeformia, Dionycha [excl. Salticiformia]). 2. Band, Abt. b (Salticiformia, Cribellata) (Synonyma-Verzeichnis, Gesamtindex). Institut royal des Sciences naturelles de Belgique, Bruxelles, 1751 pp.

Strand E (1909a) Neue oder wenig bekannte südamerikanische Cupiennius- und Ctenus-Arten. Zoologische Jahrbücher, Abteilung für Systematik, Geographie und Biologie der Tiere 28: 293–328.

Strand E (1909b) Neue oder wenig bekannte neotropische cteniforme Spinnen des Berliner Museums. Zoologische Jahrbücher, Abteilung für Systematik, Geographie und Biologie der Tiere 28: 401–428.